Prelude
Apologies to all of you attending this talk as we will **not** go to full disclosure. The Android security team is going to provide a patch in the next few days (October 2nd) for CVE 2017-0807.

However, we don’t now who is going to receive it as **all** Android versions we tested are vulnerable.

Hope you will like the videos :) 

When the update is available we will share everything: details, code etc. The paper is **not** available in the proceedings in your USBs.
We would like to thank the organisers of the conference for their support and patience. Special thanks to (in alphabetical order):

- Manos Antonakakis
- Michael Bailey
- Marc Dacier
- Michalis Polychronakis
Introduction
We assume that a user has been tricked into installing an app. In order not to raise any suspicion and to convince the user to install the app, we do not use any dangerous permission.

The latter app does not ask for any permission, every permission is normal so automatically granted...
Android is designed to run in a rather constrained environment in terms of both size and computational resources which imply many constraints for the UI.

Basically, what we have is layers with UI components, which are stacked one on top of the other.
Who is on top?
Does it matter?

The layer which is on top is the one that the user sees and interacts, but due to size, UI and other OS constraints the user cannot determine which is the foreground app.

Note that every installed app in Android knows which other apps are installed.
So what?

If an adversary knows that you have installed a banking app he could overlay it and get your credentials.

Bankbot, Bankun, Koler, Lockdroid, MazarBot, SlemBunk, and Svpeng exploit such capabilities.
To quantify the problem, according to CheckPoint [4] 74% of ransomware, 57% of adware, and 14% of banker malware abuse the SYSTEM_ALERT_WINDOW permission.
This permission is clearly very dangerous.

According to Google Developer resources [3]: “Very few apps should use this permission; these windows are intended for system-level interaction with the user”.

The permission is poorly implemented leading to well-known attacks such as [8, 5].
It can be **easily** detected by just looking at the permissions in the manifest so the set of apps exploiting it can be significantly bounded.

Data from Tacyt
(https://www.elevenpaths.com/technology/tacyt/)
I know what you use and when. Usage statistics are very important for many companies. Android has a special permission for monitoring apps through UsageStatsManager.

Android Developer:

*This API requires the permission android.permission PACKAGE_USAGE_STATS, which is a system-level permission and will not be granted to third-party apps. However, declaring the permission implies intention to use the API and the user of the device can grant permission through the Settings application.*
You either know it or you lure the user to disclose it.
What’s the story in this work?

We show:

- How to determine foreground app (<Nougat)
- How to lure the user to disclose the foreground app (All Android versions)
- How to steal PIN/Pattern. (All Android versions)
- How to overlay others apps. (All Android versions)
- A revised version of Tapjacking. (All Android versions)
- Becoming administrator. (All Android versions)

All the above **without** using any dangerous permission.
Sniffing secure lock PIN/Pattern
Motivation
Where is this information stored?

- The pattern is stored as an unsalted SHA-1 hash in /data/system/gesture.key.
- PIN/passphrase are stored in /data/system/password.key as a concatenation of the password’s SHA-1 and MD5 hash values. Contrary to the patterns, the text-based passwords use a salt which is stored in the /data/system/locksettings.db.
How does the user lock his device?

We don’t have read/write access to contents /data/ but we can “weight” the files!

What is the size of these files?

```
ls -l /data/system/gesture.key
```
Let’s replicate the lock screen! Goal
All applications are allowed to access device’s wallpaper by requesting the `getDrawable` property without the need for declaring any dangerous permission, as we reported in Security Issue 219663 (not fixed yet but will be).
Since we know how the user unlocks his screen we know what to show.

We don’t know when to show it, yet...
Presenting the fake lock screen

Create a BroadcastReceiver to listen for screen-off events (ACTION_SCREEN_OFF), while our app is running on the foreground. Our attack is triggered by the user, not when he tries to unlock his device by using the power button, but when he locks it!

Our fake lock screen will be brought to the foreground after the screen-off event and will remain there invisible until the user tries to unlock his smartphone.
The fake lock screen

Use fingerprint or draw unlock pattern

Thank you for giving me your pattern!
Get foreground app
Let’s work the other way around: If I don’t know which is the foreground app, could I find which one is not the foreground app?
Android is Linux powered so it uses procfs to store the data of its processes. Information in this filesystem is well protected, in terms of reading and altering the stored information, but we have side leakages as some metadata are publicly available to all applications.
Android runs in mobile devices which have constrained resources, whereas many refinements have been introduced by Google to allow Android to perform resource allocation and release. If there is free memory, Android uses Zygote to launch a new VM through Dalvik or ART.

If not let’s *kill* someone!
If there is not any free memory, Android has to close the least used application. Each application is given a oom_adj_score, stored under /proc/[pid]/.

Pruning all the system applications we can determine which is the application which is less probable to be killed.

Can you “guess” which one is this?
Luring the user
Notifications

From API level 11, one must denote the text of the notification; through `setContentText` which accepts a string variable, the title of the notification; through `setContentTitle` which also accepts a string variable, and the notification icons for the status bar and the notification view, using `setSmallIcon` and `setLargeIcon` respectively.

As of API level 23, both icons can be set dynamically using custom bitmaps. Prior to API level 23, only the `setLargeIcon` provided this feature, as `setSmallIcon` required an integer which denoted the resource ID in the application’s package of the drawable to use.
Fake Notifications
Apps can create shortcuts using the normal permission `INSTALL_SHORTCUT` in their manifest. The underlying mechanism to create a shortcut is intents, so the developer has to declare three variables: a string which denotes the caption of the shortcut (EXTRA_SHORTCUT_NAME), a string which denotes the “action” of the intent to be launched (`setAction`), and its icon as a bitmap (EXTRA_SHORTCUT_ICON).
Overlays
Who can draw on top of other apps?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UI Window Type</th>
<th>Required Permission</th>
<th>Manifest Declaration</th>
<th>Focusable</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Launch from service</th>
<th>Attack</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toast Messages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>3.5 sec</td>
<td></td>
<td>[6, 9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alert Messages</td>
<td>SYSTEM_ALERT_WINDOW</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td></td>
<td>[8, 5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Alerts</td>
<td>SYSTEM_ALERT_WINDOW</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[8, 2, 5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyguards*</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal activity</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>No limit</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[7, 1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Does Android detect overlays?

Nope! Only when it comes to runtime permissions...
OVERLAYS

OVERLAYS EVERYWHERE
How we use it: Partially overlay apps/system activities

Android Emulator - Nexus_5X_API_25:5554

Activate device administrator?

1Admin2RuleThemAll

Activating this administrator will allow the app 1Admin2RuleThemAll to perform the following operations:

- Read, write, or delete user data
- Read, write, or delete any files on the device
- Prevent use of all device cameras.

Want to activate the skills of our Ninja?

Activate this device administrator

Cancel

Uninstall app

Android Emulator - Nexus_5X_API_25:5554

Google Maps

To continue, please enter your google password:

OK
Demo time!
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Bloopers
Updates:

Status: Duplicate

Merged into: 233504

Comment #2 on issue 234399 by mrj...@google.com: Tapjacking revisited
https://code.google.com/p/android/issues/detail?id=234399

Thank you for reporting this issue.

This issue was already reported by another researcher. The duplicate AOSP id is 233504 and that issue is being tracked by AndroidID-35056974.

Thanks,

WTF! Who managed to do it before us? Ah, it was Tyler Durden!
Pissing people off
Thank you for your attention

Questions?

Efthimios Alepis: talepis@unipi.gr
Constantinos Patsakis: kpatsak@unipi.gr
https://androidsp.cs.cs.unipi.gr
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